



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 4

Decker, Jones, McMackin, McClane, Hall & Bates, P.C  
Burnett Plaza  
801 Cherry Street, Suite 2000  
Fort Worth, TX 76102-6836

**MAILED**

~~JUN 12 2003~~

OFFICE OF DIRECTOR  
GROUP

In re Application of	)	
FLOYD	)	
Application No. 10/001,867	)	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: November 19, 2001	)	TO MAKE SPECIAL
For: LENS ARRANGEMENT WITH FLUID	)	
CELL AND PRESCRIPTIVE ELEMENT	)	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102, filed on November 19, 2001, to make the above-identified application special.

Petitioner requests that the above-identified application be made special under the accelerated examination procedure set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item IV: Applicant's Age.

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 and in accordance with M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Item IV, must include a showing, as by a birth certificate or the applicant's statement, that the applicant is sixty five (65) years of age or more. No fee is required for this petition.

The petition includes applicant's statement that the applicant is sixty five (65) years of age or more.

Accordingly, the petition is GRANTED.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for expedited prosecution.

If the examiner can make this application special without prejudice to any possible interfering applications, he/she is authorized to do so for the next action. Should the application be rejected, the application will not be considered special for the subsequent action unless the applicant promptly makes a *bona fide* effort to place the application in condition for allowance, even if it is necessary to have an interview with the examiner to accomplish this purpose.

If the examiner finds an interfering application for the same subject matter, he/she should consider such application simultaneously with this application and should state in the official letter of such application that he/she is taking it out of its turn because of possible interference.

Should an appeal be taken in this application or should this application become involved in an interference, consideration of the appeal and the interference will be expedited by all Patent and Trademark Office officials concerned, contingent likewise upon diligent prosecution by the applicant.

After allowance, this application will be given priority for printing. See M.P.E.P. § 1309.

The petition is granted to the extent indicated.



Hien H. Phan, Special Programs Examiner  
Technology Center 2800  
Semiconductors, Electrical and Optical  
Systems and Components